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## C. P. JONES

## Diotrephes of Antioch*

A.R.R.Sheprard has recently published an inscription from the archaeological depot at Denizli. The stone is described as a «plaque of white marble, possibly cut from a statue base», and has no reported provenance. ${ }^{1}$ As Sheppard observes, the references to wars (line 10) and to the cult of Roma without the emperor (line 14) impose a date not later than the triumviral period, while the itacism ( $\tau \varepsilon \mu \alpha \tau \varsigma$, line 1) and the variation in the treatment of mute iota (included in lines 6 and 10 but omitted in lines $7,8,12$ ) favor a date in the first century rather than the second. ${ }^{2}$ The cursive epsilon, sigma, and omega are no argument against such a date. ${ }^{3}$ In what follows I make some suggestions about the constitution of the text and the identity of the honorand; this identification implies a more precise date, and also a precise provenance.

Sheppard's text is as follows:

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { vacat }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

The length of line is best discussed first. The number of letters in the extant, righthand part of the stone ranges from nineteen (line 3) to twenty-three (line 1): I do not count line 15 , which ends short of the margin. In the missing part the one line that can be restored automatically is the first, where seventeen or eighteen letters are missing. Since the stone is not broken evenly on the left, and on the right the letters do not always go fully to the margin, it is difficult to establish an average number of letters per line. In my supplements of the left-hand part I have assumed a minimum of seventeen (lines $3,7,12,14$ ) and a maximum of twenty (line 2 ); overall I have assumed a minimum of thirty-six letters per line (line 3 ) and a maximum of forty-one (lines $1,2,8,10$ ).

Line 1. Sheppard's restoration is certainly right. The formula is found in this and related forms in a number of cities of Caria, of which Aphrodisias is only one. ${ }^{4}$ This is technically an honorific inscription, and since these were often placed on a tomb or heroon the plaque is more likely to have come from such a monument than, as Sheppard suggests, to have been «cut from a statue base». ${ }^{5}$ The word $\delta \tilde{\eta} \mu \circ \varsigma$ need not imply that the assembly alone honored the deceased, but denotes both the boule and the demos. ${ }^{6}$ The 'greatest honors» and the public burial already indicate that the subject is a person of consequence.?

Line 2. I will return to the identity of the honorand later, but will discuss here the space available for restoring his name. It is natural to ask if the son and grandson of a Diotrephes could have had the same name himself. In the accusative it

[^1]might have taken the older form $\Delta$ toin $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \eta$ or the later and commoner $\Delta$ to$\tau р \varepsilon ́ \varphi \eta \nu .^{8}$ In line 2 , if the shorter form was used and movable nu was employed as in the only other observable case (line 12), the supplement would amount to twenty letters, the longest of any: however, this is palliated by the fact that omicron and tau, rho and epsilon could have been pushed together as they are in the second $\Delta$ totpépous of line 13. In that line, even if iota adscript was used after $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha v^{\prime} \omega$ the supplement would require nineteen letters and thus be within the normal range.

The god's name at the end of the line is tentatively restored by Sheppard in his apparatus as M Mvós. This seems inevitable, as the letter before the nu appears to have had a right hand vertical. ${ }^{9}$

Line 3. Sheppard's supplement of the left hand part looks right except for a detail: the phrase is always $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}, \delta \dot{\alpha}$ or $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \pi \rho \sigma \gamma \delta \nu \omega v$, without the article. ${ }^{10}$

Line 4. To begin with the noun at the end of the line, this does not look like $\lambda \in t-$ touprias: the photograph seems to show, after a space of about three letters, the top of a curved letter, then what could be the upper loop of a beta, then after a space a vertical and a triangular letter: surely a word that recurs constantly in inscriptions of benefactors, $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon i \alpha \varsigma . ~ \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i ̃ v$ is a verb several times associated with embassies from the first century on, for example in an honorific inscription for Iollas of Sardis, apparently from the middle of the century, which has several similari-


 not found a parallel which would complete the adjective agreeing with $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon$ sí $\varsigma$ at the beginning of the line. $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \varphi \alpha v \varepsilon \tau \bar{\zeta}$ might be right; so might $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tau \bar{\zeta}$ or $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota-$ лóvous, since inscriptions often mention the dangers which embassies involved; $;^{12}$ غ́лıкıvסúvous is too long.

Line 5. It is best to start with the words $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha \tau о р ~ 9 \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$. In inscriptions the verb usually means «accomplish successfully», with reference to actions performed or missions carried out in the face of obstacles or danger; it is used in all three

[^2]voices, and is usually transitive in the active and frequently so in the middle. ${ }^{13}$ It very often refers to the outcome of embassies, with the body represented by the embassy appearing in the dative. The inscription for Iollas of Sardis has already been quoted; in a decree of the koinon of Asia for ambassadors from Aphrodisias,


 $\kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha i$ к $\alpha \tau о \rho \vartheta \omega \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o v \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \gamma เ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \underline{\eta} \pi \alpha \tau \rho i \delta 1 .{ }^{15}$ That corroborates the reading $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon i \alpha \varsigma$ in line 4, but also suggests that $\gamma 0 \mu \nu \alpha \sigma 1 \alpha \rho \chi \eta$ ๆ $\sigma \nu \tau \alpha$ does not belong to the left of 5 ; it will be seen later that it fits excellently in 10 .

If that is right, it leaves open the whole first half of line 5 , some eighteen letters or so, for the end of the phrase beginning in line 3 , каi $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \alpha \varsigma \varsigma . . \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v \tau \alpha$ $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon i \alpha \varsigma$. Mentions of embassies often include the persons or the place to which the ambassadors went. Since the present honorand was a priest of Rome, it is a reasonable supposition that like priests of Rome in other cities, Iollas of Sardis for example, he went to the Romans either in Rome or in Asia. ${ }^{16} \mathrm{~A}$ frequent expression of the late Hellenistic and the imperial periods for the Roman authorities is oi $\dot{\eta} \gamma o$ ón $\mu v o$ : thus the decree of the koinon of Asia from Aphrodisias records a deci-
 tovऽ $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma o u \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o u \varsigma]$ would fit here: there does not seem to be room to indicate more precisely where the honorand met them, but since the phrase covers his whole diplomatic career the omission is no doubt deliberate.

Lines 5-6. Sheppard observes of his restoration of line 6 , «The apparent distinction between «the People» and the «citizens» is unusual.» On the photograph I see nothing of the first $\varepsilon v$ and only part of the cross-stroke of the following tau. It has already been noticed that phrases in which к $\alpha \tau 0 \rho 9$ oõv refers to the outcome of embassies frequently contain a dative of interest; it follows that $\tau \tilde{\omega} \tau \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \iota$ should be attached to $\kappa \alpha \tau \circ \rho \vartheta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$, and that the $\kappa \alpha i$ does not conjoin two prepositional phrases but begins a new participial one. At the end of line 5 the pi seen by ShepPARD is not visible on the photograph, but if it is there $\pi \mathrm{O} \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{c}$ could be right; ${ }^{18}$ however, given the uncertainty of the reading and the flexibility of the verb катор $\vartheta$ oovv it seems better to leave the words preceding $\tau \tilde{\iota} \delta \bar{\eta} \mu \omega \mathrm{m}$ blank.

[^3]At the end of line $6, \dot{\varepsilon} v$ toĩs $\Pi O \Lambda E$ recalls a phrase found in inscriptions of this


 Tlos, probably in the second century, $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ тoĩs $\pi$ о $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \mathrm{ot} \varsigma \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha \alpha \delta \rho \omega \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega v ı \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu-$ vov. ${ }^{20}$ In the present inscription, the more vague $\dot{\varepsilon} v \pi o \lambda \varepsilon \mu o t s ~ i s ~ u s e d ~ i n ~ l i n e ~ 10 ~ t o ~$ summarize the honorand's career in wars and in peaces: in this line, $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ tois $\pi 0-$ $\lambda \hat{\varepsilon} \mid[\mu 01 \varsigma]$ suits the traces at least as well as $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ дoĩ $\pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon[i \mid \tau \alpha 1 \varsigma]$.
 in the first half of line 8. $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \circ \psi \cup \chi \omega \varsigma$, which means either smagnanimously> or «generously, ${ }^{21}$ gives some idea of its drift. $\pi \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sim} \tau 0 \tau \varepsilon$ may be right, since this synonym of $\dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \dot{i}$ is found in documents from the first century on: the space to the left of line 8 could be filled by $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \delta o ́ v \tau \alpha$ ( $\dot{\pi} \pi \delta i \delta \delta o v \tau \alpha)$ équtóv for example. ${ }^{22}$ However, $\Pi A N T O$ could also be $\pi \tilde{\alpha} v$ to, and refer to the frequent claim that a benefactor complied with «everything requested> of him, $\pi \rho \circ 90 \dot{\mu} \mu \omega \varsigma \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha u \tau \grave{v} v \varepsilon i \varsigma \pi \tilde{\alpha} v$ tò $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$ $\kappa \alpha \lambda$ oú $\mu \varepsilon v o v \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \delta \delta i \delta \omega \sigma \omega$ and the like. ${ }^{23}$ At Samothrace under Ptolemy Euergetes Hippomedon son of Agesilaos is described as ßouдó $\mu \varepsilon v o s$ ঠ̀л
 [ $\dot{\alpha} \xi(10 \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ] would fit satisfactorily. I do not see a clear choice between these two ways of supplementing the text, and adopt the latter one below only tentatively.

Line 8. $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa$ óvt $\alpha$ is an excellent suggestion (though the gamma might be nu). This use of $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \iota v$ has been studied by Robert, who finds that it begins in epigraphy in the middle of the second century, and becomes especially common in Asia Minor under the empire. ${ }^{25}$ To the left of the line, it is not immediately clear whether к $\alpha i$ begins a new phrase, or connects $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \ldots \sigma ט ́ v \eta$ to one or more preceding nouns such as $\dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \tau \tilde{y}$ and $\pi i \sigma \tau \varepsilon l^{26}$ The first construction would make the

[^4]whole phrase very brief, and I incline to think the second correct. If $\pi \alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} v o \tau \varepsilon$ is the right reading, then $\kappa \alpha i \quad \pi i \sigma \tau \varepsilon \iota \kappa \alpha i \dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \tau \tilde{n}$ would make a supplement of nineteen letters: if $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \nu \tau$ ò $\dot{\alpha} \xi ı o v \mu \varepsilon v o v$ is, $\kappa \alpha i \pi i \sigma \tau \varepsilon \imath$ would make one of the same number. $\delta t-$ $\kappa \alpha \iota o \sigma u ́ v \eta$ is a quality often praised in benefactors, and implies «righteousness» as much as <justice». It was easy for the rich, especially in wartime, to take advantage of their inferiors: thus the same anonymous benefactor of Tlos who sfought brave-
 $\kappa \alpha i \delta \iota \kappa \alpha i \omega \varsigma \dot{\alpha} v \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$; in the triumviral period Callicrates of Aphrodisias is said to have held all the magistracies $\kappa \alpha \vartheta \alpha \rho \tilde{\omega} \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta ı \kappa \alpha i ́ \omega \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu \varphi \varepsilon \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \varsigma ~$ тท̃ $\pi$ ó $\lambda \varepsilon$. $^{27}$

Line 9. Sheppard understands the two adverbs $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda 0 \pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \kappa \alpha i \dot{\varepsilon} v \delta o ́ \xi \omega \varsigma$ to end one phrase, and $\kappa \alpha i \varepsilon \varepsilon \cup ̇ \sigma \beta ळ \varsigma ~ t o ~ b e g i n ~ a ~ n e w ~ o n e: ~ « . ~ . ~ . ~ w i t h ~ b r i l l i a n c e ~ a n d ~ d i s-~$ tinction, piously [ensuring security] in wars and peace.» $\alpha \sigma v \lambda i \alpha \alpha \nu \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \chi o v \tau \alpha$, though presented with no sign of hesitation, is impossible: $\dot{\alpha} \sigma v \lambda i \alpha$ is not <security> but <inviolabilitys, and it could be recognized by a state or head of state but not «provided, by a private citizen. ${ }^{28}$ Furthermore, the participle $\gamma \nu \mu v \alpha \sigma \omega \rho \chi \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ expelled from line 5 can only go in line 9 or 10 , and there is not enough room for it in 9, whereas in 10 it takes exactly the same number of letters as $\dot{\alpha} \sigma v \lambda i \alpha \nu \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \chi o v-$ $\tau \alpha$. I therefore understand it to be qualified by all these adverbs, and $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau 0 \pi \bar{\varsigma} \pi 0 \lambda \dot{\varepsilon}-$ $\mu o r s$ to begin a new phrase continuing to the end of line 11. $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda 0 \pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ $\delta o ́ \xi \omega \varsigma$ are combined in other inscriptions of benefactors, and suit a gymnasiarch very well: ${ }^{29}$ عv̉ఠ\& $\beta \omega \varsigma$ is much less often applied to such officials, ${ }^{30}$ but the famous inscription for Menas of Sestos shows how the public expectation of a gymnasiarch fused the concepts of generosity and piety: ${ }^{31}$ references to Menas' sacrifices mingle with such expressions as $\varphi t \lambda 0 \delta o \xi i \alpha$ (lines 69,70), $\varphi t \lambda \alpha \gamma \alpha \vartheta \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha i \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda 0-$
 gument is correct, the phrase ending with the participle in line 10 begins to the left of line 9 , where some eight letters are unaccounted for. [ $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha i]$ would fit, and

[^5]these strings of adverbs not infrequently begin with $\kappa \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} \varsigma .{ }^{32}$ it would have to follow that there was no koi joining this phrase to the previous one, as also seems to be true in line 10.

Line 10. I have argued above that $\dot{\varepsilon} v \pi o \lambda \varepsilon ́ \mu o \iota \varsigma$ begins a new phrase continuing to the end of 11. Similar summarizing phrases are found in other inscriptions of the Hellenistic period, usually though not always at the end. The equivalent phrase for
 quoted above; so also the long recital of the benefactions of Acornion of Dionysopolis closes with the sentence $\kappa \alpha \vartheta o ́ \lambda o v ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho i \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu . . . \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 seen the present phrase used elsewhere in such contexts, though it is standard in classical and Hellenistic grants of $\dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha, \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varphi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha$ and the like. ${ }^{34}$

Line 11. Sheppard's restoration must be generally right, even if the precise wording is uncertain: the k $\alpha$ before $\sigma \omega \tau \tilde{\eta} \rho \alpha$ should be connective, not correlative with the following one, since Greek does not seem to say, «both savior and benefactor). ${ }^{35}$

Line 12. The vacat shows that a separate text begins below. When several honorific inscriptions appear on one stone, they are usually for different members of the same family. ${ }^{36}$ This can hardly be so here, and the second inscription must emanate from a body different to that in the first: in addition, the honors are different, «greatest honors» and public burial in the first, honor and a gold crown (no doubt funerary) ${ }^{37}$ in the second. A body frequently associated with the demos in the conferral of honors is the gerousia. At Sardis the great stele recording decrees passed in honor of Menogenes begins with the heading: tò kotvòv $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \pi i ̀ \tau \tilde{\varsigma} \varsigma$ 'Arías
 pov; another stele of Sardis shows two crowns in relief, with $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \rho o v \sigma i \alpha$ and $\dot{o}$ $\delta \tilde{\eta} \mu \circ \varsigma$ written above each, and the honorand's name (now lost) below; in one of the few inscriptions of Antioch on the Maeander, there is a list of cities honoring a deceased Antiochene in which both Nysa and its gerousia are shown to have sent a $\psi \eta \dot{\eta} \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \nu 9 \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v .{ }^{38}$ In the present inscription [ó $\delta \tilde{\eta} \mu \circ \varsigma$ oṽv], though again presented as a certainty, lacks all parallel; [ף̀ $\gamma \varepsilon \rho o v \sigma i \alpha]$ takes exactly the



${ }^{33}$ IGBulg. $I^{2} 13$ lines 38-42 (Syll. ${ }^{3} 762$; IGR I 662).
${ }^{34}$ Thus Syll. ${ }^{3} 348$ lines 22-23, 357 lines 6-7, 399 line 9, etc. (index s.v. $\varepsilon$ ip $\mathfrak{i} v \eta$ ).
${ }^{35}$ On the use and significance of these titles, A.D. Nock, Essays on Religion and the Ancient World II, Oxford 1972, 720-35.
${ }^{36}$ Thus (at random) La Carie 177 no. 70 (Heraclea by Salbace), MAMA VIII 465, 492, 499 (Aphrodisias).
${ }^{37}$ On funerary crowns see Index du Bulletin Epigraphique 1966-1973 s.v. couronne funéraire, and esp. L. Robert, RPh sér.3, 33, 1959, 218-20; Bull. 1966. 272.
${ }^{38}$ ISardis 7 lines 1-5; ISardis 30; Buresch (n.6) 103 A lines 6-7, 9-10, cf. p. 129.
same number of letters. Robert has drawn attention to the way in which civic groups, especially those connected with the gymnasium like the neoi and gerontes, passed decrees in honor of their benefactors which had then to be ratified by the full citizenry. ${ }^{39}$ Behind the present two inscriptions there probably stand two posthumous decrees, one passed by the demos, the other passed by the gerousia and then ratified by the demos at the same session.

Line 13. On the possible supplement $\Delta 10 \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \eta$ see above on line 2.
 ty-one letters and would make a total for the line of forty-two: moreover, the repetition of iep $\dot{\alpha} \alpha$ is suspect. ${ }^{40}$ Since the second inscription omits a number of the honorand's functions, his embassies for example, it may have mentioned only his
 torily: Callicrates of Aphrodisias is praised as $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha \rho \chi \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \sigma \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega \varsigma$


Line 15. Since the honorand is dead, $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \sigma i \alpha \rho[\chi \circ v]$ without a participle expressing past time is again suspect, and $\gamma \cup \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \alpha \alpha \rho \chi \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$, as probably in line 10 , is preferable. Once more, there is no need for the text of the second inscription to
 $\delta \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{v}$ (eighteen letters).

For the provenance Sheppard has two suggestions. «One city within the likely catchment area of Denizli depot definitely known to have had a cult of Dea Roma in pre-Augustan times is Tripolis (Yenice) » ${ }^{42}$ if that is right «the war referred to is probably Mithridates' invasion of Asia in $88 . »$ The Hellenistic history of cities in the area of Denizli is so fitfully illuminated that the argument from silence is unusually hazardous: as Sheppard himself observes, evidence for the pre-Augustan cult of Roma at Aphrodisias, one of the richest of all epigraphical sites, is very recent. ${ }^{43}$ Sheprard's other argument is more promising, even if curiously presented. ${ }^{44}$ «The name Diotrephes and the prominent role of an orator in wartime may indicate a later date and a city more remote from Denizli. When Q. Labienus led the Parthians into Asia Minor in 39 B. C., the orators Zeno of Laodicea and Hy-

[^6]breas of Mylasa rallied their cities against the invaders. Hybreas was the pupil of a certain Diotrephes of Antioch, thought by Radermacher to be from Antioch on the Maeander. Perhaps Diotrephes' unnamed son, himself an orator, fulfilled a comparable role in Antioch. That city is known to have had a cult of Roma as early as ca. 165 B.C.»

That Diotrephes was from Antioch on the Maeander is clearly stated by Strabo

 tailed and interesting account of Hybreas a few pages later Strabo mentions that
 known not only from Strabo but from inscriptions and coins, which show that he was C. Iulius Hybreas, son of Leon, and a priest of Roma who received a public cult after his death: he was probably fairly old when he led his vigorous but disastrous campaign of resistance in 39 , since ten years later he was dead and his son had succeeded to his position. ${ }^{46}$ It seems reasonable to assume that his teacher was active in the first half of the century, perhaps in the Mithridatic period. ${ }^{47}$

A further step is hard to resist. There is just room for «Diotrephes» as the name of the honorand in the inscription; and in the first part, perhaps in both, he is described as a rhetor. The name «Diotrephes is not very common, though in manuscripts it sometimes replaces the related «Diitrephes). Apart from Strabo's sophist I have noticed some fifteen examples, most of them from cities of western Asia Minor, Ilion, Pergamon, Chios, Erythrai, Antioch on the Maeander, Hierapolis, Apamea: examples from elsewhere, Athens, Orkistos and other sites in eastern Phrygia, are late. ${ }^{48}$ The only bearer of the name who is even slightly known to history is Strabo's sophist. Though that man could have had a son in the same profession, as Hybreas did, ${ }^{49}$ it seems more economical to suppose that he and the honorand of the inscription are one and the same person: for the fact that the honorand is called a rhetor is no reason to suppose him different from the ssophist in Stra-

[^7]bo. ${ }^{50}$ If the identification is correct, then the «wars» will be those of Mithridates, just the period when it had been supposed that Strabo's Diotrephes was active. ${ }^{51} \mathrm{~A}$ prominent orator in Antioch on the Maeander would have had many opportunities to serve his city in this period: its neighbour to the west, Nysa , and the next important city to the south, Aphrodisias, are known to have been severely tested. ${ }^{52}$ Although there is no sign that Diotrephes faced the same dangers as Chairemon of Nysa, for example, still his career may well have served as a model for that of his more famous pupil Hybreas.

If the honorand of the inscription is Strabo's Diotrephes, and the stone comes from Antioch on the Maeander, something else falls into place. It is true that Antioch is «known to have had a cult of Rome as early as ca. 165 B. C.», but there is more to be said. In 167, the cities of Caria south of the Maeander were freed from Rhodian domination by Rome. ${ }^{53}$ An inscription from the Samian Heraion appears to be a direct reflection of Antioch's new status. The Antiochenes had recently received an extension of their territory and an increase of their revenues, and as a result were now dbetter able to serve Roman interests with distinction,> $\delta v v a \tau \omega \tau \varepsilon$ -
 pears to be a decree of Antioch ratifying a treaty with cities now incorporated into it, and a penalty clause putting the treaty under the tutelage of the goddess Roma: there follows a reply of the Samians to a request of the Antiochenes, in which they call them «well disposed to the Romans, the common benefactors of all. ${ }^{55}$ At the same time, Antioch celebrated its new wealth and status with a handsome issue of silver drachms and tetradrachms. The name «Diotrephes» appears on seemingly unique specimens of both denominations. ${ }^{56}$ If the new inscription concerns Strabo's sophist, then the Diotrephes prominent after the liberation could be one of that man's ancestors, perhaps the grandfather mentioned in the second inscription here. It would be appropriate that the first Diotrephes was prominent in the days

[^8]of Antioch's new freedom, and that a descendant was a priest of Rome «from his ancestors in the period of the Mithridatic wars.

The other priesthood held by the honorand is also notable, and might be considered an objection to identifying him as an Antiochene. The older handbooks give Men as one of the principal cults celebrated on the city's coinage: however, that was an error caused by confusion with the coinage of Pisidian Antioch. ${ }^{57}$ If this inscription were from the city on the Maeander, it would be the first evidence for the cult of Men there. However, this cult is prominent in several of the cities of the region, notably Nysa, but also Aphrodisias and Attouda, on whose territory stood the medical and thermal sanctuary of Men Karou singled out by Strabo. ${ }^{58}$ It is therefore easily possible that Men was worshipped at Antioch, and that Diotrephes was priest both of Men and of Roma: such simultaneous priesthoods of Roma and another god are not uncommon, though I have not found Men and Roma so joined. ${ }^{59}$

There remain some uncertainties. The honorand's name is not preserved, and $\Delta t o \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \eta$ is even slightly long for the space. Similarly, the provenance of the stone is not recorded, and though Antioch, situated on a hill overlooking the confluence of the Morsynos and the Maeander, ${ }^{60}$ is not too far from Denizli for a stray stone to have found its way there, a parallel would be desirable. ${ }^{61}$ On balance, however,

[^9]there seems at least a good chance that the new inscription is one of the rare stones of Antioch, ${ }^{62}$ that it honors the Diotrephes known from Strabo, and that it is therefore to be dated about the middle of the first century. Accordingly, I propose the following text: ${ }^{63}$











vacat





have been moved by the intervention of authorities rather than naturally, which would make a long journey more credible.
${ }^{62}$ I have noticed only the following: Le Bas-Waddington 41 (IErythrai 116); U. von Wilamowitz, ADAW 1909, 2, 56-59 no. 13 (IErythrai 117); IMagnesia 90 (decrees for external judges, ca. 200, cf. НАвicht [n. 53] 248 n. 124); Buresch (n. 6) 102-32, cf. RhM 49, 1894, 424-60 (honors post mortem, first century A.D.); L.Robert, RPh sér. 3, 3, 1929, 133-34, cf. 8, 1934, 49 n. 1 (OMS II 1099-1100, 1161 n. 1), Et. anat. 430-33 (statue bases for athletes, imperial); W.S. Sterrett, An epigraphical journey in Asia Minor, Papers of the American School of Classical Studies in Athens 2, 1883-84, publ. 1888, nos. 5 (see above, Robert, RPh 1929), 6 (funerary), 7 and 8 (fragments). Robert, Laodicée 361, suggests that the inscription published by W.M. Ramsar, BCH7, 1883, 270-72 no. 14 (honors for a benefactor, second or third century A.D.), is from Antioch.
${ }^{63}$ In line 11 I do not «correct $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$ : cf. MAYSER, Grammatik (n. 8) I 217 , «diese zu allen Zeiten und in allen Dialekten ... überaus häufige orthographische Eigentümlichkeit, das stimmlose $\sigma$ vor stimmlosen Verschlußlauten zu verdoppeln.»


[^0]:    * I am very grateful to Glen Bowersock, Christian Habicht, and Louis Robert for their comments. All dates are B.C. unless otherwise indicated. Epigraphic corpora of individual cities are cited in the form «IErythrai» etc. The Bulletin épigraphique of J. and L. RoBERT is cited by the year of REG and the number of the item, e.g. «Bull. 1960. 318,. I have used the following special abbreviations: Holleaux, Etudes $=$ M. Holleaux, Etudes d'épigraphie et d'histoire grecques I-VI, ed. L. Robert, Paris 1938-68; Reynolds, Aphrodisias $=$ J.M.Reynolds, Aphrodisias and Rome, JRS Monographs I, London 1982; La Carie $=$ J. and L. Robert, La Carie II: Le plateau de Tabai et ses environs, Paris 1954; Robert, Et. anat. $=$ L.Robert, Etudes anatoliennes, Paris 1937; Robert, Laodicée $=$ L. Robert in J. des Gagniers et al., Laodicée du Lycos: Le Nymphée, Québec and Paris 1969; Sheprard = A.R.R.Sheppard, R.E.C.A.M. Notes and Studies No.7: Inscriptions from Uşak, Denizli and Hisar Köy, AS 31, 1981, 19-27.
    ${ }^{1}$ Sheppard 20-22.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. T. Drew-Bear on a first-century inscription of Aphrodisias (now Reynolds, Aphrodisias no. 5), BCH 96, 1972, 446.
    ${ }^{3}$ See especially Robert, Hellenica 11/12, 1960, 588, and also Bull. 1978. 19 no. 569.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ Sheppard cites MAMA VIII 464 and 471 ; for a discussion of this formula and its dispersion in Caria, La Carie 176.
    ${ }^{5}$ J. and L. Robert (previous n.) observe, «les inscriptions de ce type étaient ordinairement gravées sur la tombe elle-même»: further, Robert, Laodicée 266.
    ${ }^{6}$ Thus in the inscription from Antioch on the Maeander listing the cities that honored a deceased Antiochene, they are all referred to as ó $\delta \tilde{\eta} \mu \circ \varsigma \tau \bar{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \omega v:$ K. Buresch, $\operatorname{MDAI}(\mathrm{A})$ 19, 1894, 102-03.
    7 On public burial, L. Robert, REA 62, 1960, 337 (OMS II 853); L.Robert, AC 37, 1968, 414-15; P.Herrmann, AAWW 1974, 443-44.

[^2]:    ${ }^{8}$ E. Schweizer (Schwyzer), Grammatik der pergamenischen Inschriften, Berlin 1898, 155 sect. 2 (b); Mayser, Grammatik der griechischen PapyriI 2 ${ }^{2}$, 1938, 39-40; Francis T. Gignac, Grammar of the Greek Papyri II, Milan 1981, 69-70.
    ${ }^{9}$ On the implications of this supplement, see below, p. 377.
    ${ }^{10}$ A wide selection of examples in Syll. ${ }^{3}$, Index s.v. $\pi p o ́ \gamma o v o$.
    ${ }^{11}$ ISardis 27 lines 7-11: on the date see Buckler and Robinson ad loc. $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon i ́ \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau ̃ v$ again in Demitsas, 'H M $\alpha$ кعסovía, Athens 1896, I 286 no. 253 (Pelagonia, imperial); IStratonikeia 678, 679 (restored), 690 (restored), 1028 (Carian Stratonikeia, all imperial); DrewBear (above, n. 2), 444 line 17 (Aphrodisias, first century); Le Bas-Waddington 1604 line 7 (Aphrodisias, imperial); La Carie 106 no. 70 (Tabai, first century or early empire).
    ${ }^{12}$ Cf. Iollas of Sardis above: a selection of references in D. Кienast, RE Suppl. 13, 1973, 546.

[^3]:    ${ }^{13}$ There is a large number of references, mainly literary, in Liddell and Scott s.v. 2b, II, III. In inscriptions I have not noticed the verb used intransitively in the active, as it is in literature, but there is no reason why it should not be.
    ${ }^{14}$ Drew-Bear (above, n. 2), 444 lines 21-24, with discussion, 459.
    15 IGR IV 1244.
    ${ }^{16}$ ISardis 27 line 14. For priests of Rome as ambassadors see now A. Balland, Inscriptions d'époque impériale du Létôon, Fouilles de Xanthos VII, Paris 1981, 242 with bibliography; E. Bowie, YCS 27, 1982, 35 n. 22.
    ${ }^{17}$ Drew-Bear (n.2) 444 lines 5-6, with ample discussion, 453-55.
    ${ }^{18}$ For $\kappa \alpha$ тор $\vartheta$ oovv followed by $\pi$ о $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$, Plato, Meno 99 C; Dem. de cor. 285.

[^4]:    ${ }^{19}$ Robert, Et. anat. 313 line 5 (Reynolds, Aphrodisias no. 28); Reynolds, Aphrodisias no. 30 lines 4-5.
    ${ }^{20}$ TAM II 582 lines 7-8 (on the date, Bull. 1950. 183 pp. 192-93).
    ${ }^{21}$ For the first sense, Holleaux, Etudes III 86, 97: the latter is frequent in inscriptions of benefactors under the empire, cf. Balland (n. 16) 243.
    ${ }^{22}$ Robert, Hellenica 6, 1948, 109 and n. 1; Bull. 1958. 506. For غ̇лıסooval $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha u \tau \delta \dot{v}$ see next note.
    ${ }^{23}$ IDelos 1517 lines 24-25, with the discussion of Holleaux, Etudes III 96. Compare ex-
     900-902 (Chalcis); Syll. ${ }^{3} 330$ lines 28-29 (IIlion 1); Illion 40 lines 4-6.
    ${ }^{24}$ IG XII, 8, 156 lines 13-14 (Syll. ${ }^{3}$ 502), cf. L. Robert, BCH 59, 1935, 425-27 (OMS I 182-84). Dittenberger in Syll. ${ }^{2} 221$ supplied dét in place of Kern's $\dot{\delta} \pi \mathrm{o}^{\prime}$ (his justification, ibid. n.6, is excised from the third edition).
    ${ }^{25}$ L. Robert, RPh sér.3, 1, 1927, 104-05 (OMS II 1059-60); further bibliography in Hellenica 13, 1965, 38 n .1 , Bull. 1973. 426. For the second aorist form, much rarer than the present or the first aorist, MAMA VIII 117 (Alkaran, SW of Lystra), 514 (Aphrodisias).
    ${ }^{26}$ For both $\dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta$ and $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ joined with $\delta \iota \alpha \propto \iota \sigma u ́ v \eta$, cf. OGIS 438 lines $7-8$ (Poimane-

[^5]:    nos, first century); TAM II 197 line 12 (IGR III 596: Sidyma, imperial), both cited by RoBERT (previous n.), 105 (1060).
    ${ }^{27}$ TAM II 582 lines 13-15; Reynolds, Aphrodisias no. 30 lines 11-13.
    ${ }^{28}$ On the meaning of $\alpha \sigma u \lambda i \alpha$, P. Herrmann, Anadolu 9, 1965, 121-38 (Bull. 1969. 495). Sheppard seems to have obtained his supplement from Plut. de vit. aere al. 828 D, cited in Liddell and Scott s.v. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma u \lambda i \alpha$ 3: but that concerns Ephesian Artemis giving sanctuary to debtors.
    ${ }^{29} \operatorname{MDAI}(\mathrm{~A})$ 24, 1899, 222 no. 52 lines $7-8$ (Lydian Attaleia, imperial); OGIS 513 lines 11-12 (Pergamon, third century A.D.), 549 lines 4-5 (Ancyra, same date); Bean and Mrtford, DAWW 102, 1970, 39 no. 19 line 9 (Aydolin Kalesi, eastern Pamphylia, same date). For a gymnasiarch, cf. $\operatorname{MDAI}(\mathrm{A})$ 27, 1902, 99-100 no. 98 (Pergamon, late Hellenistic?), [ $\gamma \cup \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \omega \alpha \rho \chi \mathfrak{\eta} \sigma] \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ $\varphi \imath \lambda о \delta o ́ \xi \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda[о \pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \omega \varsigma]$.
    ${ }^{30}$ I have noticed only $\operatorname{MDAI}(A) 32,1907,273-78$ no. 10 lines 16-17, סıкаíws каì $\varepsilon u ́-$
    
    ${ }^{31}$ OGIS 339 (ISestos 1).

[^6]:    ${ }^{39}$ L. Robert, Monnaies antiques en Troade, Paris 1966, 30 n. 37; cf. also RPh sér. 3, 41, 1967, 14 n.3. There are very clear examples from Hellenistic Pergamon, $\operatorname{MDAI}(\mathrm{A})$ 32, 1907, 273-78 no. 10 lines 40-49, 278-84 no. 11 lines 50-56.
     इo $\lambda u ́ \mu \varepsilon \omega s$ (108-10, 113, 114, 153, 156; texts in R. Mellor, ©EA P $\Omega$ MH, Hypomnemata 42, Göttingen 1975, 225), none in which iepeús is repeated.
    ${ }^{41}$ Reynolds, Aphrodisias no. 30 lines 14-16.
    ${ }^{42}$ Sheppard 21, citing MAMA VI 53 and SNG Copenhagen, Lydia 715, 716. On the site and history of Trípolis, Bull. 1971.646, Сн. Навіснт, JRS 65, 1975, 83-84.
    ${ }^{43}$ Sheppard, 21 n .11 , referring to J. M. Reynolds, PCPS 26, 1980, 70-73; see further the bibliography in L. Robert, CRAI 1978, 285 n. 68 and now Reynolds, Aphrodisias nos. 1, 30.
    ${ }^{44}$ Sheppard 22.

[^7]:    ${ }^{45}$ Str. 13, 630, 14, 659: Radermacher, RE V, 1903, 1150 no. 2, refers only to the first passage.
    ${ }^{46}$ For bibliography on Hybreas, G. W. Bowersock, Augustus and the Greek World, Oxford 1965, 5-6; L. Robert, AC 35, 1966, 419-20; RN sér.6, 15, 1973, 48 n. 15; Ann. Coll. France, 1973-74, 535. The anecdote about his son's appearance before the younger M. Cicero (Sen. Rh. suas. 7, 14) shows that he was dead by 29.
    ${ }^{47}$ Thus Radermacher (n.45), Bowersock (previous n.) 5, putting Diotrephes among «politically active rhetors . . . from the time of the Mithridatic Wars.»
    ${ }^{48}$ Ilion: OGIS 219 line 1 (IIlion 32). Pergamon: IPergamon II 564 lines 1, 11. Chios: SEG XV 539, XIX 580 A 43. Erythrai: Syll. ${ }^{3} 442$ lines 5-6 (IErythrai 29: restored). Antioch: see below, p.378. Hierapolis: R.Münsterberg, Die Beamtennamen auf den griechischen Münzen, Vienna 1911-27, 165. Apamea: Münsterberg 158. Athens: M.T.Mitsos, AE 1950-51, 26 no. 11 line 60. Orkistos and Phrygia: MAMA VII 304, 409, 475, 585. Note also III Ep. Jo. 9. For the manuscript corruption, Thuc. 8.64. 2; Dion. Hal. I Ep. Amm.5.
    ${ }^{49}$ Cf. n. 46.

[^8]:    50 The overlap between these two words has been much discussed: cf. G.W.Bowersock, Greek Sophists in the Roman Empire, Oxford 1969, 12-13, precisely on Strabo's usage.
    ${ }^{51}$ Above, n. 47. On the diplomatic activity of the Greek cities in this period see also C.P. Jones, Chiron 4, 1974, 203-05.
    ${ }^{52}$ Nysa: Syll. ${ }^{3} 741$ (partly in Welles, Royal Correspondance nos.73, 74), the famous inscription of Chaeremon. Aphrodisias: see now Reynolds, Aphrodisias 11-20 nos. 2 and 3. On the relations of Antioch and Aphrodisias, L. Robert, Hellenica 13, 1965, 165-66.
    ${ }_{53}$ References in Сh. Нabicht, MDAI(A) 72, 1957, 248, esp. Polyb. 30. 5. 12, on which see now Walbank, Commentary on Polybius III 427.
    ${ }^{54}$ Published by Habicht (previous n.) 242-52 no. 65 (Bull. 1960. 318 p. 188), lines 23-24.
    55 Habicht (n.53) lines 6, 20-21. On the expression kowoì عủgp $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$, L. Robert, CRAI 1969, 57-61; I' have not seen Cl. Wehrli, SicGymn 31, 1978, 479-96 (SEG XXVIII 1658).

    56 Drachm: A.Loebbecke, Zeitschr. für Num. 12, 1885, 322 no. 1 with Pl. XIII 3 (cf. BMC Caria, xxxi-xxxii, 14; E. Babelon, RN sér. 3, 8, 1890, 432-34). Tetradrachm: Robert, Etudes déliennes, BCH Suppl.I, Paris 1973, 447-48, with fig. 1.

[^9]:    57 Thus BMC Caria 15 no. 5; Babelon (previous n.) 434; B.V.Head, Historia Numorum ${ }^{2}$, Oxford 1911, 608. That these coins belong to Pisidian Antioch was first noticed by Waddington, E. Babelon, Inventaire sommaire de la Collection Waddington, Paris 1898, nos. 3566-70: cf. F.Imhoof-Blumer, Kleinasiatische Münzen II, Vienna 1902, 357-58; La Carie 257 n. O.
    ${ }^{58}$ Nysa: BMC Lydia lxxxiii-lxxxiv, 177-84; Robert, Laodicée 297; E. N. Lane, Corpus Monumentorum Dei Menis II, Leiden 1975, 19-34, III, Leiden 1976, 44-45. Aphrodisias: BMC Caria 34 no.52; MAMA VIII 406, 445, 446 ( 406 and 446 are now Reynolds, Aphrodisias nos. 29, 32); Lane, op. cit. I, Leiden 1971, 75-76, II 47, III 49-50. Attouda: BMC Caria xl, 65 nos. 16-18, 68 no. 39, Lane op. cit. II 48. Men Karou: Str. 12, 580; Athen. 2, 43 A; J.G.C.Anderson, JHS 17, 1897, 398; A. Laumonier, Les cultes indigènes en Carie, BEFAR 188, Paris 1958, 475. On the general extension of the cult of Men in Caria, La Carie 74; for a M $\eta$ vópidos M Mvopìiou possibly from Antioch on the Maeander, Robert, Etudes déliennes (n. 56) 445.
    ${ }^{59}$ Mellor (n. 40) 129-30, 212 (Zeus), 225 (Zeus, Dionysos). Callicrates of Aphrodisias now appears to have been priest both of Roma and of Hecate: Reynolds, Aphrodisias no. 29 lines 5-6, no. 30 line 16.
    ${ }^{60}$ On the site of Antioch, see especially W.J. Hamilton, Researches in Asia Minor, Pontus and Armenia I, London 1842, 529-30; C. Fellows, Travels and Researches in Asia Minor, London 1852, 247-48; D. Magie, Roman Rule in Asia Minor I, Princeton 1950, 128; Robert, Etudes déliennes (n.56) 446-47. There is an excellent map of the region in A.Philippson, Reisen und Forschungen im westlichen Kleinasien IV, Petermanns Mitteilungen, Ergänzungsheft 180, 1914; that in JHS 18, 1898, pl. IV, is too small to be useful.
    ${ }^{61}$ Cf. Robert, Et. anat. 433, «dans cette vallée du Méandre les pierres voyagent facilement», cf. Laodicée 361-62, Bull. 1978. 513. Moreover, in the present case the stone could

